



## Master Ballot

Session:

Room:

Chamber #:

Instructions: Please **rank**, in order of preference (1=most preferred; 8=least preferred) the **top eight** legislators in this session. Consider each contestant's holistic performance in the session, including an aggregate consideration of the quality of speaking or presiding. Did the contestant's actions enhance the chamber's ability to conduct legislative business, or did his/her focus on the minutia of procedures and competitive framework detract from time for others to speak?

Are you aware you *may* or *may not* place the presiding officer in the list of your eight most preferred contestants, **if you believe her/his performance was *worthy***? →  Yes

**Note:** If the presiding officer's performance was *not worthy*, do not include him/her below!

Rank	Name ( <i>print legibly; use first initial if two in chamber have same last name</i> )	School Code
1 <sup>st</sup>		
2 <sup>nd</sup>		
3 <sup>rd</sup>		
4 <sup>th</sup>		
5 <sup>th</sup>		
6 <sup>th</sup>		
7 <sup>th</sup>		
8 <sup>th</sup>		

Print Judge Name:

School/Affiliation: